

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,925	10/30/2003	Thomas D. Nordquist	TND.P.0001	8209	
26360	7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER		
RENNER, KENNER, GREIVE, BOBAK, TAYLOR & WEBER			ELDRED, JOHN W		
	TRST NATIONAL TOWER FOURTH FLOOR 06 S. MAIN STREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
AKRON, OH	44308		3644	3644	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V M		
$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	L"		
	10/696,92	5	NORDQUIST, THOMAS D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	J. Woodrov		3644			
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statu  statutory period will apply and will  sty will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timel the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 28 June 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.						
3) Since this application is in condition	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the prac	ctice under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	·		
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-8</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, and 6-8</u> is/are rejected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to rest	are withdrawn from cor					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priori  2. Certified copies of the priori  3. Copies of the certified copies  application from the Internal  * See the attached detailed Office act	ly documents have been by documents have been s of the priority docume ional Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/696,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 is vague and indefinite since it depends from cancelled claim 2, and claims 6 and 8 depend in turn from claim 4.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hursh.

See especially Figures 1, 4, and 5. Note that the trailing wall of the indention is longer than the front wall since the front has rounded corners.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hursh in view of Cabeza.

Hursh discloses an aviation tire comprising all claimed elements, including a circumferential row of indents which provide increased wind resistance when at the

Application/Control Number: 10/696,925

Art Unit: 3644

bottom of the rotating tire. Hursh fails to show having plural circumferential rows or having smaller indents in outward rows. Cabeza teaches, see Figure 1, that it is known to have a plurality of circumferential rows of wind resistant elements on an aviation tire. Motivation to combine is the increased performance available from a greater number of wind resistant elements. To employ the teachings of Cabeza on the tires of Hursh and have a plurality of circumferential rows of indents is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art. Likewise, to merely vary the size of the indents from one row to another is not believed to provide any unexpected or patentable results. It would be a matter of normal engineering skill and practice to vary the size of the indents in order to provide the desired level of performance for a particular situation.

Page 3

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Woodrow Eldred whose telephone number is 703-306-4151. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. Woodrow Eldred Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644

J. Wooden Eldred

**JWE**